


DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	(2)
<b>AD-A264 018</b> 		<small>1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson            ment and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.</small>		
1. AC	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Semi-Annual 7-1-92--12-31-92			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Transformation of Rice's Small Perturbation Results for Rough Surface Scattering into a Comprehensive Single Scatter Solution that Includes Physical and Geometrical Optics		5. FUNDING NUMBERS  DAAL03-91-G-0204		
6. AUTHOR(S)  Dr. Ezekiel Bahar		<div style="text-align: center;"> <b>DTIC</b>  <b>ELECTE</b>  <b>MAY 10 1993</b>  <b>S C D</b> </div>		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Nebraska-Lincoln Electrical Engineering Department 209N WSEC Lincoln, NE 68588-0511				
8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER				
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER  ARO 28219.13-GS		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Using a straightforward two-step procedure, Rice's original small perturbation solution to rough surface scattering is transformed into a comprehensive single scatter solution, that includes the small perturbation and the physical/geometrical optics solutions. The first involves a phase restoration and the second involves subjecting the surface element scattering coefficients to the principle of invariance to coordinate transformations.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT  UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE  UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT  UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT  UL	

TRANSFORMATION OF RICE'S SMALL PERTURBATION RESULTS FOR ROUGH SUR-  
FACE SCATTERING INTO A COMPREHENSIVE SINGLE SCATTER SOLUTION THAT  
INCLUDES PHYSICAL AND GEOMETRICAL OPTICS

Ezekiel Bahar  
Electrical Engineering Department  
University of Nebraska - Lincoln  
Lincoln, Nebraska 68588-0511

93-09671



**ABSTRACT.** *Using a straightforward two-step procedure, Rice's original small perturbation solution to rough surface scattering is transformed into a comprehensive single scatter solution, that includes the small perturbation and the physical/geometrical optics solutions. The first involves a phase restoration and the second involves subjecting the surface element scattering coefficients to the principle of invariance to coordinate transformations.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

To obtain the rough surface like and cross polarized scattering coefficients, Rice [1] adopted the Rayleigh hypothesis (associated with upward scattered waves from slightly rough surfaces), expanded the phase term associated with the height fluctuations in an infinite Taylor series and imposed approximate boundary conditions for rough surfaces with very small slopes. However, Rice's solutions are not in agreement with the solutions based on the Kirchhoff approximations for the surface fields at the rough interface (even when the radius of curvature is very large and root mean square height of the surface is very small compared to the electromagnetic wavelength) especially as the root mean square slopes become smaller and smaller. In fact, the small perturbation series solution exhibits a rather peculiar behavior. The higher order perturbation terms become larger than the lower order terms as the root mean square slope decreases [2,3]. The problems associated with convergence were first raised by Rice himself in his original monumental contribution [1]. In it, he states "In this work, we shall overlook questions of convergence although they may perhaps be treated by placing suitable restrictions on the (Fourier) components of the surface."

Numerous attempts have been made in order to broaden the class of rough surface problems that can be solved using Rice's basic results. These include adding higher order perturbation terms as well as the adoption of a hybrid perturbed - physical optics method based on two scale models of the rough surface.

It is shown, using a straightforward two step procedure, that Rice's original solution can be transformed into a very comprehensive single scatter solution that includes the small perturbation and the physical/geometrical optics solutions based on the Kirchhoff approximation for the surface fields [4]. The first involves a phase restoration. Thus the height fluctuation about the mean plane appears in the phase of the scattered field rather than as a coefficient. The second involves subjecting the surface element scattering coefficients to the principle of invariance to coordinate transformations.

93 5 04 222

SEARCHED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SERIALIZED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
INDEXED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FILED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
JUL 1 1993	
FBI - NEW YORK	
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
A-1	

Thus Rice's transformed solutions are in complete agreement with the single scatter version of the full wave solutions [3,5] and they reduce to the corresponding physical optics solution when the normal to the rough surface  $\bar{n}$  at any point is replaced by its value at the stationary phase points.

## 2. RICE'S SOLUTION FOR THE DIFFUSELY SCATTERED ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

Rice's small perturbation solution [1] for the electromagnetic fields diffusely scattered from slightly rough surfaces  $y_s = u(x_s, z_s)$  (small slopes and small height fluctuations compared to wavelength) can be expressed in matrix form as follows:

$$G_s^f = G_0 \int_{-L}^L \int_{-L}^L S(\bar{k}^f, \bar{k}^i) \exp(i\bar{v} \cdot \bar{r}_t) [iv_y h(x_s, z_s)] dx_s dz_s G^i \quad (1)$$

in which  $G^f$  and  $G^i$  are  $2 \times 1$  matrices whose elements are the vertically and horizontally polarized components of the scattered and incident fields  $E^{Pf}$  and  $E^{Pi}$  ( $P = V, H$ ), respectively. The coefficient  $G_0$  is

$$G_0 = k_0^2 \exp(-ik_0 r) / 2\pi i v_y r \quad (2)$$

where  $k_0$  is the free space wave number,  $r$  is the distance from the origin (on the mean plane  $y = 0$ ) to the observation point and

$$\bar{v} = \bar{k}_0^f - \bar{k}_0^i = k_0(\bar{n}^f - \bar{n}^i) = v_x \bar{a}_x + v_y \bar{a}_y + v_z \bar{a}_z = \bar{v}_t + v_y \bar{a}_y \quad (3)$$

in which  $\bar{n}^i$  and  $\bar{n}^f$  are unit vectors in the direction of the incident and scattered waves. The position vector to a point on the rough surface is  $\bar{r}_s = x_s \bar{a}_x + h \bar{a}_y + z_s \bar{a}_z = \bar{r}_t + h \bar{a}_y$ . The  $2 \times 2$  scattering matrix  $S$  is given by [5,6,7]

$$S(\bar{k}^f, \bar{k}^i) = 2 \cos \theta_0^f \cos \theta_0^i R(\bar{k}^f, \bar{k}^i) \quad (4)$$

and the elements of the  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $R$  are

$$R^{VV} = \frac{[\mu_r C_1^f C_1^i \cos(\phi^f - \phi^i) - S_0^f S_0^i](1 - 1/\epsilon_r) + (1 - \mu_r) \cos(\phi^f - \phi^i)}{(C_0^f + \eta_r C_1^f)(C_0^i + \eta_r C_1^i)} \quad (5)$$

$$R^{HH} = \frac{[\epsilon_r C_1^f C_1^i \cos(\phi^f - \phi^i) - S_0^f S_0^i](1 - 1/\mu_r) + (1 - \epsilon_r) \cos(\phi^f - \phi^i)}{(C_0^f + C_1^f/\eta_r)(C_0^i + C_1^i/\eta_r)} \quad (6)$$

$$R^{HV} = \frac{-\sin(\phi^f - \phi^i) n_r [(1 - 1/\mu_r) C_1^f - (1 - 1/\epsilon_r) C_1^i]}{(C_0^f + C_1^f/\eta_r)(C_0^i + \eta_r C_1^i)} \quad (7)$$

$$R^{VH} = \frac{\sin(\phi^f - \phi^i) n_r [(1 - 1/\epsilon_r) C_1^f - (1 - 1/\mu_r) C_1^i]}{(C_0^f + \eta_r C_1^f)(C_0^i + C_1^i/\eta_r)} \quad (8)$$

in which  $\epsilon_r$ ,  $\mu_r$ ,  $\eta_r$  and  $n_r$  are the relative permittivity, permeability, intrinsic impedance and refractive index for medium below the rough interface  $y_s < h(x_s, z_s)$ . The height fluctuation in (1) results in a fluctuation of the field intensity. This is because on imposing the boundary conditions assuming that  $k_0 h \ll 1$ , Rice approximates  $\exp(iv_y h)$  by  $1 + iv_y h$ . Thus, the small height approximation is removed by replacing  $\exp(i\bar{v} \cdot \bar{r}_t)(iv_y h)$  in (1) by

$$[\exp(i\bar{v} \cdot \bar{r}_s) - \exp(i\bar{v} \cdot \bar{r}_t)] \quad (9)$$

and the height fluctuation results in the fluctuation of the phase and not the field intensity. To remove the small slope approximation that Rice assumes on imposing the boundary condition,

the rough surface element (differential) scattering matrix (4) is subjected the principle of invariance to coordinate transformations. Thus in (1) the projection  $dx_s dz_s$  of the rough surface element at  $\bar{r}_s$  is replaced by the surface element area  $dx_s dz_s / (\bar{n} \cdot \bar{a}_y)$  (where  $\bar{n}$  is the unit vector normal to the surface). Furthermore in (5)-(8) the expressions for the sines and cosines of the angles of incidence and scatter for free space,  $S_0^i = \sin \theta_0^i$ ,  $S_0^f = \sin \theta_0^f$ ,  $C_0^i = \cos \theta_0^i$ ,  $C_0^f = \cos \theta_0^f$  as well as the related expression with subscripts 1 for the medium  $y < h$  are replaced by the corresponding expressions involving the local angles of incidence and scatter  $\theta_0^{in}$  and  $\theta_0^{fn}$  [5]. Furthermore, the fixed planes of incidence and scatter (normal to  $\bar{n}^i \times \bar{a}_y$  and  $\bar{n}^f \times \bar{a}_y$ ) are replaced by the local planes of incidence and scatter (normal to  $\bar{n}^i \times \bar{n}$  and  $\bar{n}^f \times \bar{n}$ ) through the transformation matrices  $T^f$  and  $T^i$ . Thus  $S(\bar{k}^f, \bar{k}^i)$  in (4) is replaced by [5]

$$S(\bar{k}^f, \bar{k}^i) \Rightarrow T^f S_n(\bar{k}^f, \bar{k}^i) T^i = D(\bar{n}^f, \bar{n}^i) \quad (10)$$

These two steps transfer Rice's small perturbation solution into the full wave solution for the single scattered far fields [3,5]

$$G_s^f = G_0 \int_{-L}^t \int_{-L}^L D(\bar{k}^f, \bar{k}^i) [\exp(i\bar{v} \cdot \bar{r}_s) - \exp(i\bar{v} \cdot \bar{r}_t)] \frac{dx_s dz_s}{\bar{n} \cdot \bar{a}_y} G^i \quad (11)$$

It is shown that when the correlation between the surface heights and slopes are accounted for, in the analysis in the high frequency limit (11) reduces to the physical optics solution with  $D(\bar{k}^f, \bar{k}^i)$  evaluated at the stationary phase (specular) points where  $\bar{n} \rightarrow \bar{n}_s = \bar{v}/v$  [3]. Note that at these stationary phase points  $R^{VV}$  (5) and  $R^{HH}$  (6) reduce to the familiar Fresnell reflection coefficients at the specular points while  $R^{HV}$  and  $R^{VH}$  vanish at these points.

The relationships between the full wave solution, Rice's small perturbation solution, the physical/geometrical optics solution and the hybrid solution based on the two scale model have been discussed in some detail in a recent publication [8].

### 3. REFERENCES

- [1] S. O. Rice (1951), Commun. Pure Applied Math, Vol. 4, pp. 251-378.
- [2] K. Sarabandi, Y. Oh and F. T. Ulaby (1991), Proceedings of IGARSS'91, Espoo, Finland, pp. 387-390.
- [3] E. Bahar (1991), IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. 39, No. 9, pp. 1293-1304.
- [4] Beckmann and A. Spizzichino (1963), The Scattering of Electromagnetic Waves from Rough Surfaces, Macmillan, New York.
- [5] Bahar, E. (1981), IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-29, No. 3, pp. 443-454.
- [6] Bahar E., (1974), Journal of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 15, pp. 202-208.
- [7] Bahar E. and Rajan, G. G., (1979), IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-27, No. 2, pp. 225-232.
- [8] Bahar, E. (1991), Journal of Geophysical Research, Vol. 96, No. C9, pp. 17123-17131.